

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/911,246	07/23/2001	Scotlund Stivers		4798
7590 01/26/2005			EXAMINER	
Scotlund Stivers			LU, JIPING	
c/o H. Keith Dubois General Consul for Michigan			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 481			3749	
West Branch, MI 48661			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion D	09/911,246	STIVERS, SCOTLUND				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Jiping Lu	3749				
Th MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	orrespondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	<u>une 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 3749

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-2 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. Currently, claims 1 is in two sentences form.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-2 are vague and indefinite. In apparatus claim, the applicant should define each and every element by its structure or means plus function language. Each defined element should be structurally interconnected with the previous defined element. It is not clear how the claimed diagonal and vertical tubes are interconnected with the partially filled vessels. The claimed exemplary arrangement is not understood. For example, it is not clear from the claim 1 how the claimed steam drum, pressure vessel, container, outside vent or drain are interconnected to form the pressured system. Claim 2 contains no structure or means plus function except for functional statement and desired results. Again, it is not clear how the claimed system is protected from excessive pressure. The phrase "and or" in claims 1-2 renders the claim vague

Art Unit: 3749

and indefinite because it is not clear whether the claimed limitation is "and" or "or". Regarding claim 1, the phrase "e.g." renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Regarding claim 1, the phrase "etc" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "etc"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim and should be canceled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arant (U. S. Pat. 3,834,355) or Tsao (U. S. Pat. 4,074,660) or Bekedam (U. S. Pat. 5,728,200).

Arant or Tsao or Bekedam all disclose an apparatus and method for releasing the pressure generated by the steam in the boiler. Arant shows vessel 46 which holds down and contains fluid until predetermined pressure is exceeded. The top area (below 46a) of the vessel 46 is open (at clearance, see col. 4) to the exterior ambient or a safe place same as the broad claims. Tsao

Art Unit: 3749

shows vessel 10', 10'' which holds down and contains fluid until predetermined pressure is exceed. The top area of the vessel 10', 10'' is open (at 22', 22'') to the exterior 26 or to a safe place or additional units for further uses. Bekedam shows vessel 14 which holds down and contains fluid until predetermined pressure is exceeded. The top area 38 of the vessel 14 is open (at 72) to the exterior ambient or a safe place or additional units for further uses. There is no structural differences between the claimed features and each of the prior art references.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/3/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive to overcome the rejection. (1) Broad claims presented fail to structurally define the prior art references. The claims merely call for a vessel filled with fluid until a pre-designed pressure is exceeded. The top areas of the vessel or tubes are open to the exterior for pressure relief or excessive pressure protection. This is common in the water heating or steam generating art. The claims fail to include any structure that the prior art references do not show. (2) The arguments regarding Arant patent are not persuasive because the claims fail to distinguish over the Arant patent. There is no structural difference between claims 1-2 and this patent. (3) The arguments regarding Tsao patent are not persuasive because the claims fail do not recite anything about closed or open system. Again, claims fail to distinguish over the Tsao patent. (4) The arguments regarding Bekedam patent are not persuasive because the claims fail to define over the Bekedam patent. There is nothing in the claims that relating to continuous open or closed operation. Nor do the claims recite any continuous protection of excessive pressure and explosion. There is no structural difference between claims 1-2 and this patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,246

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 571 272 4878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571 272-4877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,246

Art Unit: 3749

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jiping Lu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

J. L.